

**WHITCHURCH PHASE II  
TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER  
SUMMARY OF COMMENTS**

Ref	Summary of comment(s)	Officer response
1	<p>Resident of Winchester Road.</p> <p>a. Concerned about the speed of traffic on Winchester Road and unless traffic calming is installed on this road there could be an accident. However, acknowledges that the proposed parking controls will neither exacerbate nor resolve the speeding issue.</p>	<p>a. On behalf of Whitchurch Town Council the council operates a Speed Limit Reminder (SLR) sign which is deployed regularly on the main roads in the town, including Winchester Road. Neither the Borough nor County Councils have plans to introduce traffic calming on this road.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proceed with implementation of the advertised parking controls at Winchester Road.</li> <li>2. Monitor the implemented parking controls three to six months after implementation.</li> </ol>
2	<p>Resident of Evingar Road.</p> <p>a. Suggests that parked vehicles on the various main roads at Whitchurch currently act as traffic calming, but is concerned that their removal via the proposed parking controls will result in increased traffic speeds.</p> <p>b. Very few houses around the town centre have off-street parking and residents need to park in the road. Do not take this parking away from the residents.</p>	<p>a. See above response 1a.</p> <p>b. Generally, the proposals are intended to remove on-street parking that currently hinders the safe passage of traffic. However, relaxations of the scheme would let residents to continue to park on-street where parking is already at a premium.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Consider making relaxations to the advertised scheme wherever road safety is not likely to be compromised.</li> <li>2. Monitor the implemented parking controls three to six months after implementation.</li> </ol>
3	<p>Resident of Lynch Hill Park.</p> <p>a. Objects to the proposed parking controls for London Street and Newbury Street as they will not help those residents who have no off-street parking facilities.</p>	<p>a. There is a risk that vehicles displaced by the proposed parking controls will simply be parked at other more hazardous locations and/or possibly causing conflict with other residents in areas where parking is already at a premium.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Relaxations to the advertised</li> </ol>

**WHITCHURCH PHASE II  
TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER  
SUMMARY OF COMMENTS**

Ref	Summary of comment(s)	Officer response
		<p>scheme are possible where road safety is not likely to be compromised.</p> <p>2. Monitor the implemented parking controls three to six months after implementation.</p>
4	<p>Resident of Winchester Road.</p> <p>a. Objects to the proposed double yellow lines on Winchester Road at its junction with Micheldever Road as these will cause problems for residents who do not have any off-street parking facilities. As a consequence the value of their properties will fall. Suggests that traffic difficulties at this location are primarily caused at school times but, this has improved since the school(s) adopted a travel plan.</p>	<p>a. This junction is busy at all times and the proposed double yellow lines will remove on-street parking that currently hinders the safe passage of traffic.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <p>1. Proceed with implementation of the advertised parking controls on Winchester Road at its junction with Micheldever Road.</p> <p>2. Monitor the implemented parking controls three to six months after implementation.</p>
5	<p>Resident of London Street.</p> <p>a. Considers that the existing limited waiting bays on London Street, between the White Hart PH and the Indian takeaway are poorly placed, dangerous and at times an obstruction to traffic. Suggests that these two bays are removed as the space between them is too short to accommodate more than one vehicle and as a result the area can become gridlocked when there are opposing traffic flows.</p>	<p>a. These parking bays serve as useful traffic calming features, but the proposals do shorten both of them in order to widen the gap between for eastbound vehicles to pull in better to allow westbound vehicles to pass.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <p>1. Proceed with implementation of the shortened limited parking bays on London Street.</p> <p>2. Monitor the implemented parking controls three to six months after implementation.</p>
6	<p>Resident of London Road.</p> <p>a. Objects to the proposed single yellow line on London Road opposite its junction with The Green as this will inconvenience residents who have no off-street parking facilities.</p> <p>b. Considers that the problem is not</p>	<p>a. There is a risk that vehicles displaced by the proposed single yellow line will simply be parked at other more hazardous locations and/or possibly causing conflict with other residents in areas where parking is already at a premium. An examination of the reported injury accident history has not revealed any incidents here in the</p>

**WHITCHURCH PHASE II  
TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER  
SUMMARY OF COMMENTS**

Ref	Summary of comment(s)	Officer response
	<p>parked vehicles but, the type and volume of traffic in the town. Suggests that there should be better restrictions to prevent heavy goods vehicles from driving through Whitchurch and other traffic management schemes e.g. a 20mph speed limit and signage advising drivers of oncoming traffic in middle of road.</p> <p>c. Considers that there is poor quality street lighting along London Road and asks that this be investigated.</p>	<p>last 6 years that have been attributed to parked vehicles.</p> <p>b. Previous studies carried-out on the B3400 by Hampshire County Council revealed that much of the heavy goods vehicle traffic using the main roads through Whitchurch is servicing businesses in the town and the surrounding agricultural area. On this basis it would not be practicable to ban such traffic. Hampshire County Council is responsible for the setting of speed limits.</p> <p>c. Hampshire County Council is responsible for street lighting.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Omit the single yellow line, between property numbers 69 to 79 London Road.</li> <li>2. Refer the 20mph speed limit and street lighting issues to HCC for its consideration and direct response.</li> </ol>
7	<p>Ward Member and a resident of Newbury Street.</p> <p>a. Requests that a disabled motorist parking bay be retained on Newbury Street near Lloyds Pharmacy. However, suggests that the bay be relocated to the northern end of the southernmost limited waiting area.</p>	<p>a. The existing disabled motorist parking bay is directly outside the chemists at the southern end of the limited waiting area and when vacant it is sometimes misused by able bodied motorists. This scenario is replicated at many other locations where disabled motorist parking bays adjoin limited waiting. Therefore, the misuse is unlikely to be prevented by moving the bay to the other end. Also, such a change would have to follow the statutory traffic Order making process, including public advertisement but, there is no budget available for this further work.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Omit the advertised extension of the limited waiting area outside the chemists on Newbury Street and</li> </ol>

**WHITCHURCH PHASE II  
TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER  
SUMMARY OF COMMENTS**

Ref	Summary of comment(s)	Officer response
		retain the existing disabled motorist parking bay at this location.
8	Resident of Newbury Street. a. Objects to the proposed single yellow line between property numbers 35 and 41 on Newbury Street as this will inconvenience residents who have no off-street parking facilities.	<p>a. There is a risk that vehicles displaced by the proposed single yellow line will simply be parked at other more hazardous locations and/or possibly causing conflict with other residents in areas where parking is already at a premium. An examination of the reported injury accident history has not revealed any incidents here in the last 6 years that have been attributed to parked vehicles.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDED ACTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Omit the single yellow line, between property numbers 35 to 41 Newbury Street .</li> </ol>
9	Resident of Newbury Street. a. Objects to the proposed single yellow line between property numbers 35 and 41 on Newbury Street as this will inconvenience residents who have no off-street parking facilities.	
10	Resident of Newbury Street. a. Objects to the proposed single yellow line between property numbers 35 and 41 on Newbury Street as this will inconvenience residents who have no off-street parking facilities.	
11	Resident of Newbury Street. a. Objects to the proposed single yellow line between property numbers 35 and 41 on Newbury Street as this will inconvenience residents who have no off-street parking facilities.	
12	Resident of Newbury Street. a. Objects to the proposed single yellow line between property numbers 35 and 41 on Newbury Street as this will inconvenience residents who have no off-street parking facilities.	